



Garrity Advisory

Employee Guyton Jesse James IBM 27677 RANK
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Fire Fighter Paramedic Workstation 53 SHIFT A

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 10:04am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Jesse James Guyton
Employee Signature

1-13-2023 10:04
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Jones Jalen M IBM 80152 RANK PIT
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Firefighter Workstation Station 35 SHIFT A

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 12:03pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Jalen Jones
Employee Signature

1/13/23 12:03pm
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Long Robert A IBM 79305 RANK Pvt
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Fire fighter Workstation Station 52 SHIFT A

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 8:37am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Robert Long
Employee Signature

01/13/2023 0837
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Sandridge Samuel Lamar IBM 77973 RANK PVT
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Fire service Workstation E 55 SHIFT A

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 4341 Academy Dr. (Memphis Fire Academy)

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 11/17/23 1:33 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Samuel Lamar
Employee Signature

11/17/23 1:32 P.M
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Arnold Jones Michael IBM 13428 RANK P11
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation FTCC SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/12/23 5:00 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature] 13428
Employee Signature

1/12/2023
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee BLAKES ADRIAN T IBM 14455 RANK PT
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Highway SHIFT C
STATION

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/11/23 5:54pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Adrian Blakes
Employee Signature

1.11.2023 1754
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Leake Brittany Raquel IBM 14125 RANK PIIP
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Crim SHIFT A

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 6:04am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Brittany Leake 14125 01/13/2023 06:04 am
Employee Signature Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee LIVELY SAMUEL P IBM 14837 RANK P11
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT RWS- Uni Patrol Workstation RWS SHIFT C
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/12/23 4:44pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature]
Employee Signature

1/12/23 16:44
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Matthews Samir Anthony IBM 14317 RANK PTI
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation OCU SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/12/23 4:22 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Samir Matthews
Employee Signature

01-12-23 16:22
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Matz Adam James IBM 12922 RANK PII
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Ridgeway SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/11/23 6:22pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature] 12922
Employee Signature

1/11/23 6:22 PM
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee McKinnie Valandria Cherelle IBM 12775 RANK PI
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Scorpion Team 3 Workstation OCU SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Daige 1/12/23 5:34pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

2 C McKinnie

Employee Signature

1/12/23 5:34 PM

Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Montes Irma IBM 14842 RANK PII
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation AW5 SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/10/23 5:34pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature]
Employee Signature

01/10/2023 1730hrs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Moore Tony Devon IBM 14142 RANK P II
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Ridgeway SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/11/23 5:28pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Tony Moore 14142
Employee Signature

01/11/23 17:28
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Sawlsberry Jasmine Renee IBM 15018 RANK
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Property Evidence Workstation SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/12/23 5:18pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Jasmine Renee Sawlsberry".

Employee Signature

1/12/23 5:18pm
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Savage Harvey Donald IBM 11315 RANK P111
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT FTU Workstation Academy SHIFT B

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Paige / Sgt. Craig 1/26/23 11:24 am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature]
Employee Signature

1/26/2022 11:24 hrs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Young Cody Austin IBM 12286 RANK Sgt
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Fel Resp - C Workstation Inv SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/25/23
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Sgt. C. Austin 12286
Employee Signature

1-25-23
Date

1639hr



Garrity Advisory

Employee KOSSO Andrew Joseph IBM 4161 RANK Lt
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT SCORPION Workstation OCU SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union St Ext'd Stc. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 1:35pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

H. Andrew Koss #4161
Employee Signature

1/13/23 1335 hrs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee SMITH DENAYNE M. IBM 2854 RANK LT
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT Scorpion 1 Workstation Scorpion 1 SHIFT C
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/12/23 11:45 am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature] #2851
Employee Signature

1-12-23 / 1145 hrs.
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee SMITH Dewayne M IBM 2854 RANK LT.
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT OCU Workstation Scorpion SHIFT C
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Paige / Sgt. Craig 1/18/23 2:41 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature] #2854
Employee Signature

1/18/23 1441 hrs.
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Mathena Willie W. IBM 5671 RANK Major
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Special OPS Workstation OCU SHIFT B

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd St. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/13/23 1350 hrs
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

W. Mathena
Employee Signature

1/13/2023 1350 hrs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Mohney Christopher Michael IBM 1796 RANK Major
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Aldgeway SHIFT Charlie

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Lt. Williams 1/16/23
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Major Christopher Mohney 1796 1-16-2023
Employee Signature Date
1643 hrs



Garrity Advisory

Employee Morris Charles R. IBM 5557 RANK LTC
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT AW5 (B) Workstation AW5 SHIFT B

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/17/23
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Charles R. Morris
Employee Signature

Jan 17, 2023 1142hrs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee MORGAN Christopher LLOYD IBM 0218 RANK Colonel
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT AFS B Workstation AFS SHIFT B
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Paige 1/25/23 2:28 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

 0218
Employee Signature Date 1/25/23 1428 hrs.



Garrity Advisory

Employee Beau Tadman M IBM 14711 RANK PJT
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT OCU Workstation Scorpion SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Exbl Suite 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. C. Pange / Sgt. R. Mead 1/11/2023
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into I2023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature]
Employee Signature

1/11/23 11:04 am
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Haley Demetrius J IBM 14730 RANK OFC
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation OCU SHIFT _____

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Extd Stc. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Johnson 1/11/23 12:17 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Demetrius Haley
Employee Signature

01/11/2023 12:17 PM
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Hemphill Preston Robert IBM 13941 RANK Pt
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT OCU Workstation Scorpion Team SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Extd Suite 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. C. Paige / Sgt. R. Mear 1/11/2023
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into I 2023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

Employee Signature

1-11-23 10:25
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Martin Emmitt IBM 13985 RANK P.II
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation OCU/scorpion SHIFT V

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Johnson 1/11/23 10:30 am
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "E. Martin", written over a horizontal line.

Employee Signature

13985

1/11/23 10:30

Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Mills Jr, Desmond Ainsworth IBM 13678 RANK PII
LAST FIRST MIDDLE

ASSIGNMENT Uniformed Patrol Workstation OLU SHIFT C

STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste. 700

QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig / Sgt. Johnson 1/11/23 1:27 pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.

[Signature] 13678
Employee Signature

01/11/2023 / 1327hs
Date



Garrity Advisory

Employee Smith Justin L IBM 13999 RANK PTI
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT OCU Workstation Scorpion SHIFT C
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Extel Suite 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. C. Paige / Sgt R. Meier 1/11/2023
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into I2023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.


Employee Signature

1-11-23
Date

11:55am



Garrity Advisory

Employee Wilson Christopher D IBM 13994 RANK PII
LAST FIRST MIDDLE
ASSIGNMENT Uniform Patrol Workstation Ridgeway Station SHIFT Charle
STATEMENT TAKEN AT 2714 Union Ext'd Ste 700
QUESTIONED BY Sgt. Craig and Sgt. Paige 1/10/23 2:39pm
DATE/TIME

This is to advise you that the Inspectional Services Bureau of the Memphis Police Department is conducting an investigation into 12023-001.

This interview is being conducted pursuant to DR 139. In accordance with the DR, the department has a right to require you to answer questions specifically directed and pertinently related to the performance of your official duties. Refusal to answer these questions may result in disciplinary action up to and including dismissal from the Memphis Police Department.

Based upon the current state of criminal law and procedure, as outlined in Garrity vs. State of New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 87 S. Ct. 616 (1967), and subsequent decisions, statements made during the course of an interview, such as you are currently engaged in, are considered to be given under duress. These statements have been ruled inadmissible in state and federal criminal prosecutions. This department will not attempt to use these statements against you in any criminal prosecution that could result from this investigation.

This advisory will be attached and become a part of your statement.


Employee Signature

01-10-2023 14:39hrs.
Date